CAPITAL
Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business

Volume 1, Issue 1, Mei 2026
E-ISSN

Institutional Governance, Green Industrial Transformation, and Digital Financial Inclusion: A Comparative Analysis of Indonesia and Vietnam in the Emerging ASEAN Digital Economy

Jonathan Marcus
Department of International Political Economy
King's College London
Email: jonathan.marcus@kcl.ac.uk
Phone Number : +6285-xxxx-xxxx (For send LoA)

***Abstract* (English)**

This article examines how institutional governance structures shape green industrial transformation, digital financial inclusion, and business resilience in Indonesia and Vietnam within the evolving ASEAN digital economy. The study argues that emerging economies increasingly depend upon institutional coherence linking industrial policy, digital governance, financial inclusion systems, and sustainability-oriented economic coordination to achieve long-term competitiveness and socio-economic resilience. Drawing upon institutional economics, comparative business systems analysis, and innovation governance theory, the article compares Indonesia's digitally expanding but institutionally fragmented economic model with Vietnam's manufacturing-oriented and state-coordinated industrial transformation strategy. Empirical analysis utilizes data from BPS Statistics Indonesia, Bank Indonesia, OJK, IMF, OECD, ASEAN digital economy indicators, World Bank governance datasets, and regional industrial competitiveness reports from 2020–2025. The findings indicate that Indonesia demonstrates stronger digital consumption growth, fintech expansion, and platform economy dynamism, whereas Vietnam exhibits stronger industrial coordination, export-oriented technological upgrading, and manufacturing-linked productivity transformation. However, both economies continue to face structural constraints involving labor capability adaptation, sustainability governance inconsistencies, regional inequality, and institutional coordination asymmetry. The comparison reveals that digital transformation alone does not guarantee sustainable development *unless supported by adaptive governance systems integrating industrial modernization, financial inclusion, ESG-oriented regulation, and innovation ecosystems. This article contributes to the literature by developing a comparative institutional framework connecting governance capacity, digital business systems, industrial competitiveness, and sustainable socio-economic development in emerging Southeast Asian economies.*

Keywords: *digital economy; industrial transformation; institutional governance; fintech; sustainability; ASEAN; Indonesia; Vietnam; innovation policy; economic resilience*

Abstrak (Indonesia)
Artikel ini mengkaji bagaimana struktur tata kelola kelembagaan membentuk transformasi industri hijau, inklusi keuangan digital, dan ketahanan bisnis di Indonesia dan Vietnam dalam ekonomi digital ASEAN yang terus berkembang. Studi ini berpendapat bahwa negara-negara berkembang semakin bergantung pada koherensi kelembagaan yang menghubungkan kebijakan industri, tata kelola digital, sistem inklusi keuangan, dan koordinasi ekonomi yang berorientasi pada keberlanjutan untuk mencapai daya saing jangka panjang dan ketahanan sosial-ekonomi. Dengan menggunakan ekonomi kelembagaan, analisis sistem bisnis komparatif, dan teori tata kelola inovasi, artikel ini membandingkan model ekonomi Indonesia yang berkembang secara digital tetapi terfragmentasi secara kelembagaan dengan strategi transformasi industri Vietnam yang berorientasi pada manufaktur dan terkoordinasi oleh negara. Analisis empiris menggunakan data dari Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia (BPS), Bank Indonesia, OJK, IMF, OECD, indikator ekonomi digital ASEAN, kumpulan data tata kelola Bank Dunia, dan laporan daya saing industri regional dari tahun 2020–2025. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa Indonesia menunjukkan pertumbuhan konsumsi digital yang lebih kuat, ekspansi fintech, dan dinamika ekonomi platform, sedangkan Vietnam menunjukkan koordinasi industri yang lebih kuat, peningkatan teknologi yang berorientasi ekspor, dan transformasi produktivitas yang terkait dengan manufaktur. Namun, kedua ekonomi tersebut terus menghadapi kendala struktural yang melibatkan adaptasi kemampuan tenaga kerja, inkonsistensi tata kelola keberlanjutan, ketidaksetaraan regional, dan asimetri koordinasi kelembagaan. Perbandingan ini

mengungkapkan bahwa transformasi digital saja tidak menjamin pembangunan berkelanjutan kecuali didukung oleh sistem tata kelola adaptif yang mengintegrasikan modernisasi industri, inklusi keuangan, regulasi berorientasi ESG, dan ekosistem inovasi. Artikel ini berkontribusi pada literatur dengan mengembangkan kerangka kerja kelembagaan komparatif yang menghubungkan kapasitas tata kelola, sistem bisnis digital, daya saing industri, dan pembangunan sosial-ekonomi berkelanjutan di negara-negara berkembang Asia Tenggara.

Kata kunci: ekonomi digital; transformasi industri; tata kelola kelembagaan; fintech; keberlanjutan; ASEAN; Indonesia; Vietnam; kebijakan inovasi; ketahanan ekonom

Introduction

The global political economy is currently experiencing a profound restructuring driven by technological transformation, sustainability transitions, geopolitical fragmentation, and intensified competition over industrial capability and digital governance. Artificial intelligence, digital finance, platform-based business systems, green industrial policy, and technological sovereignty increasingly shape the competitive trajectories of both advanced and emerging economies. Simultaneously, global supply-chain realignment and sustainability-oriented investment frameworks are transforming patterns of production, labor organization, financial intermediation, and industrial competitiveness. According to the World Bank (2024), digital sectors contributed substantially to productivity expansion across developing economies, while the IMF (2024) identified technological upgrading and institutional adaptability as central determinants of long-term economic resilience in Asia.

Within Southeast Asia, Indonesia and Vietnam represent two strategically important emerging economies undergoing rapid industrial and digital transformation. Both countries have pursued modernization agendas integrating digital economy expansion, industrial upgrading, financial inclusion, and sustainability-oriented development. However, the institutional architectures through which these transitions occur differ substantially. Indonesia’s transformation has been characterized by rapid expansion of digital consumption markets, platform economies, fintech ecosystems, and decentralized governance structures. Vietnam, by contrast, has emphasized coordinated industrial policy, export-oriented manufacturing integration, and centralized state-led technological upgrading.

Indonesia possesses one of the world's fastest-growing digital economies. Google, Temasek, and Bain (2024) estimated that Indonesia's digital economy surpassed USD 82 billion in gross merchandise value in 2023, driven primarily by e-commerce, digital finance, logistics integration, and platform-based services. Bank Indonesia (2024) further reported that digital banking transactions exceeded IDR 58 quadrillion in 2024, while electronic money transactions continued to expand rapidly. The Indonesian government simultaneously intensified industrial downstreaming strategies, smart manufacturing programs, and AI-oriented economic policies through initiatives such as Making Indonesia 4.0 and the National Digital Transformation Roadmap.

Vietnam has experienced similarly significant transformation, although through a different institutional model. OECD (2023) and ASEAN Secretariat (2024) reports demonstrate that Vietnam strengthened export-oriented industrial capability through coordinated manufacturing policy, strategic foreign direct investment attraction, and industrial cluster development. Vietnam's electronics, machinery, and digital manufacturing sectors became increasingly integrated into global production networks, contributing to rapid productivity growth and export expansion. Unlike Indonesia's consumption-driven digital expansion, Vietnam's digital transformation has been more closely embedded within manufacturing and industrial upgrading systems.

These developments carry substantial implications for economic governance, institutional resilience, and sustainable development across ASEAN. Emerging economies increasingly confront pressures associated with balancing digital acceleration, environmental sustainability, labor transformation, industrial competitiveness, and socio-economic inclusion. The interaction between technological innovation and governance quality therefore becomes central to understanding contemporary development trajectories.

Institutional economics argues that economic performance depends fundamentally upon governance structures shaping transaction costs, policy coordination, investment incentives, and adaptive capability (North, 1990). Comparative business systems theory similarly emphasizes that institutional configurations influence patterns of innovation, labor organization, industrial coordination, and firm behavior (Whitley, 1999). These perspectives are especially relevant within Southeast Asia, where rapid digitalization occurs alongside governance asymmetries, uneven institutional capacity, and varying industrial structures.

The Indonesian case demonstrates the opportunities and contradictions associated with digital economic transformation in emerging economies. Rapid fintech expansion increased financial access among previously underserved populations, while digital platforms accelerated market integration and entrepreneurial participation. Nevertheless, structural challenges persist involving regulatory fragmentation, labor precarity, regional inequality, cybersecurity governance, and uneven technological capability. OJK (2024) identified increasing risks associated with digital lending concentration, consumer protection, data governance, and algorithmic financial management systems.

Vietnam exhibits different institutional dynamics. Stronger industrial coordination and centralized governance enabled relatively coherent manufacturing upgrading and export-oriented technological integration. However, centralized coordination also generated constraints involving private-sector flexibility, innovation autonomy, and sustainability governance transparency. Moreover, labor transformation pressures intensified as automation and AI-enabled production systems increasingly reshaped manufacturing structures.

Previous scholarship has explored multiple dimensions of Southeast Asian digital transformation. Mazzucato (2021) argues that mission-oriented governance systems are necessary for coordinating innovation-led development. Rodrik (2021) similarly emphasizes the importance of adaptive industrial policy capable of balancing technological upgrading and social inclusion. Studies by Narayan and Popp (2021) highlight the role of fintech in expanding financial inclusion across developing Asia, while Yusuf and Nabeshima (2020) emphasize the strategic importance of Industry 4.0 adoption within emerging industrial economies.

Other scholars argue that platform economies fundamentally reshape capitalist accumulation and governance relationships. Kenney and Zysman (2020) explain that digital platforms reorganize market structures through data concentration and algorithmic coordination, while Acemoglu and Restrepo (2021) warn that AI-driven automation may intensify labor inequality if institutional adaptation remains insufficient. Research on ASEAN economies further indicates that digital transformation often reproduces institutional asymmetries rather than automatically generating inclusive development outcomes.

While previous studies emphasize technological innovation and entrepreneurship, existing scholarship remains limited in several important respects. First, relatively few comparative studies systematically analyze how different institutional governance systems shape digital economic transformation within Southeast Asia. Second, scholarship frequently isolates digital economy analysis from industrial policy and sustainability governance, thereby underestimating their interdependence. Third, current literature often examines either fintech expansion or manufacturing transformation separately without integrating both within a comparative institutional framework. Fourth, insufficient attention has been devoted to understanding how governance coordination influences the relationship between digital transformation and socio-economic resilience. Fifth, existing studies rarely integrate ESG-oriented sustainability governance into comparative analyses of ASEAN digital economies.

This article addresses these gaps through a comparative analysis of Indonesia and Vietnam. The study argues that digital transformation outcomes are fundamentally conditioned by institutional coherence linking industrial coordination, financial governance, innovation ecosystems, labor adaptation systems, and sustainability-oriented development frameworks. Unlike technologically deterministic approaches, this article conceptualizes digital economic transformation as an institutional process shaped by governance structures, business systems, and policy coordination mechanisms.

The novelty of this article lies in four primary contributions. First, it integrates institutional economics, comparative business systems analysis, and sustainability governance into the study of ASEAN digital transformation. Second, it develops a comparative framework explaining divergent economic trajectories between Indonesia and Vietnam. Third, it empirically connects digital finance, industrial modernization, ESG governance, and socio-economic resilience within emerging economies. Fourth, it contributes policy-oriented insights regarding inclusive digital transformation and sustainable industrial governance in Southeast Asia.

The analytical framework proposed in this article conceptualizes institutional capacity as the foundational determinant shaping innovation coordination, industrial modernization, digital financial integration, and economic resilience. In this framework, governance quality influences the effectiveness of technological adoption, industrial upgrading, financial inclusion, and sustainability implementation. These processes subsequently shape competitiveness, labor transformation, market trust, and socio-economic development outcomes.

This study therefore argues that sustainable economic transformation in emerging economies depends upon institutional systems capable of integrating digital innovation, industrial modernization, financial inclusion, and sustainability governance within coherent developmental strategies.

The research objective of this article is to comparatively analyze how institutional governance structures shape digital transformation, industrial upgrading, financial inclusion, and sustainable socio-economic resilience in Indonesia and Vietnam within the evolving ASEAN economic landscape.

Method

This study employs a comparative institutional political economy framework integrating comparative business systems analysis, sustainability transition theory, and innovation governance perspectives to examine economic transformation trajectories in Indonesia and Vietnam between 2020 and 2025. The comparative design was selected because both countries represent rapidly transforming ASEAN economies characterized by strong digital growth, expanding industrial modernization agendas, and increasing integration into regional and global production networks, yet they exhibit fundamentally different governance architectures and business coordination systems. Indonesia reflects a decentralized and platform-oriented digital economy model emphasizing fintech expansion, entrepreneurial ecosystems, and market-driven digital integration, whereas Vietnam represents a more centralized industrial coordination model emphasizing export manufacturing capability, strategic state guidance, and industrial upgrading. The analysis operationalizes institutional variables through indicators involving industrial policy coherence, fintech penetration, ESG governance integration, labor capability transformation, innovation ecosystem connectivity, manufacturing productivity, and digital financial inclusion. The comparative framework enables identification of causal institutional mechanisms explaining divergent developmental outcomes despite shared regional economic pressures.

Empirical analysis is derived from triangulated secondary data collected from BPS Statistics Indonesia, Bank Indonesia, OJK reports, Vietnam General Statistics Office publications, ASEAN digital economy datasets, IMF regional outlooks, OECD innovation policy assessments, World Bank governance indicators, industrial competitiveness reports, ESG investment datasets, and peer-reviewed academic literature published between 2020 and 2026. Analytical interpretation combines comparative economic analysis with institutional process tracing to evaluate relationships between governance coordination, business system transformation, technological upgrading, and sustainability outcomes. Reliability was strengthened through cross-validation among international institutional datasets, regional economic reports, and comparative business literature. Ethical considerations involved maintaining transparency in comparative interpretation, avoiding unsupported causal claims, and acknowledging institutional limitations associated with evolving digital economies, uneven regional reporting standards, and rapidly changing technological sectors. The methodological design therefore aligns research objectives, institutional theory, comparative analysis, and empirical interpretation within a coherent interdisciplinary framework.

Findings and Discussion

1. Institutional Governance and Divergent Economic Coordination Systems

The comparison between Indonesia and Vietnam reveals substantial divergence in institutional governance structures shaping digital economic transformation and industrial modernization. Indonesia’s economic governance architecture is characterized by decentralized coordination, platform-driven digital expansion, and hybrid public-private innovation systems. Vietnam, by contrast, demonstrates stronger centralized industrial coordination, export-oriented manufacturing integration, and state-directed technological upgrading.

Indonesia's digital economy expanded rapidly following the COVID-19 pandemic due to accelerated e-commerce adoption, fintech penetration, logistics integration, and digital entrepreneurship. Bank Indonesia (2024) reported significant increases in digital payment transactions and electronic financial services between 2021 and 2024. Jakarta, Surabaya, and Bandung emerged as dominant digital innovation hubs linked to platform-based consumption growth and venture capital concentration.

However, institutional fragmentation constrained policy coordination and implementation consistency. Indonesia's decentralized governance system generated varying regulatory capacities across provinces and sectors. Overlapping authority between ministries, regional governments, financial regulators, and industrial agencies frequently reduced implementation efficiency. This reflects broader structural constraints within decentralized emerging economy governance systems.

Vietnam demonstrated a different institutional trajectory. Coordinated industrial planning and centralized policy implementation enabled stronger integration between industrial modernization strategies, export-oriented production systems, and technological upgrading initiatives. OECD (2023) identified Vietnam as one of Southeast Asia's fastest-growing manufacturing exporters due to sustained industrial coordination and strategic investment attraction.

The comparison reveals that Indonesia's governance model generated greater entrepreneurial dynamism and market flexibility, while Vietnam's centralized coordination produced stronger manufacturing integration and industrial upgrading consistency. Nevertheless, Vietnam's centralized system occasionally constrained innovation autonomy and private-sector adaptability.

These findings support comparative business systems theory emphasizing that institutional configurations shape economic coordination mechanisms and industrial trajectories (Whitley, 1999). Indonesia's platform-financial coordination model accelerated digital market growth but generated governance asymmetries and uneven implementation capacity. Vietnam's state-industrial coordination model enhanced manufacturing capability but relied heavily upon centralized governance structures.

Importantly, both systems demonstrated institutional vulnerabilities. Indonesia experienced rising digital inequality, uneven infrastructure quality, and fragmented regulatory adaptation. Vietnam confronted pressures involving labor upgrading, environmental governance, and technological dependence on external investment flows. Therefore, institutional effectiveness depended not solely upon governance centralization or decentralization but upon adaptive coordination capacity.

2. Digital Finance, MSME Transformation, and Inclusive Economic Participation

Digital financial inclusion emerged as a critical institutional mechanism influencing economic participation and business transformation in both countries. Indonesia experienced particularly rapid fintech expansion due to high smartphone penetration, digital payment integration, and platform-based financial services. OJK (2024) reported substantial growth in peer-to-peer lending, digital banking, and electronic payment ecosystems between 2020 and 2024.

Indonesia’s fintech ecosystem significantly improved financial accessibility for MSMEs and informal-sector participants. Digital lending platforms enabled previously unbanked entrepreneurs to access working capital and market integration opportunities. MSME participation in e-commerce and digital payment systems expanded rapidly across urban and semi-urban regions.

However, the findings indicate that fintech expansion also generated governance risks involving consumer protection, algorithmic credit evaluation, cybersecurity vulnerability, and over-indebtedness. Several digital lending systems prioritized rapid market penetration over sustainable financial governance. This reflects structural tensions between innovation acceleration and regulatory capacity within emerging digital economies.

Vietnam displayed slower fintech expansion but stronger integration between digital finance and productive manufacturing systems. Digital financial services were increasingly connected to export-oriented SMEs, industrial supply chains, and manufacturing productivity systems. Unlike Indonesia’s consumption-driven fintech ecosystem, Vietnam’s financial digitalization was more closely embedded within industrial modernization processes.

The comparison reveals institutional divergence in financial inclusion strategies. Indonesia emphasized broad-based digital participation and entrepreneurial access, while Vietnam prioritized productive industrial integration and export-linked financial coordination. These differences shaped varying developmental outcomes.

The findings further indicate that MSME transformation depended heavily upon institutional support systems involving digital literacy, infrastructure accessibility, regulatory transparency, and technological capability formation. Indonesia’s MSMEs demonstrated higher participation rates in platform economies but often remained dependent upon large digital intermediaries. Vietnam’s SMEs experienced slower digitalization but stronger integration into manufacturing and export ecosystems.

These patterns support institutional economic arguments suggesting that productive capability formation requires coordinated governance systems rather than market expansion alone (North, 1990). Digital participation without productive upgrading may increase market access temporarily while limiting long-term competitiveness and autonomy.

Labor transformation also emerged as a major challenge in both economies. Automation, AI integration, and digital business systems increased demand for technical skills, data literacy, and adaptive workforce capability. World Economic Forum (2024) identified substantial digital skills gaps across ASEAN economies despite rapid technological adoption.

The evidence therefore suggests that inclusive digital transformation depends upon integrating financial innovation with workforce development, industrial upgrading, and governance adaptation.

3. Green Industrial Policy, Sustainability Governance, and Economic Resilience

Sustainability governance increasingly shapes industrial competitiveness and investment allocation across Southeast Asia. Both Indonesia and Vietnam intensified sustainability-oriented economic strategies involving renewable energy investment, ESG integration, industrial efficiency modernization, and green manufacturing initiatives.

Indonesia pursued sustainability transitions through industrial downstreaming policies, renewable energy targets, sustainable finance frameworks, and ESG-oriented investment initiatives. OJK’s sustainable finance roadmap encouraged greater integration of ESG reporting and sustainability risk assessment within financial institutions and corporations. Several state-owned enterprises and major digital firms adopted sustainability disclosure standards linked to international investment expectations.

Nevertheless, sustainability implementation frequently remained uneven and fragmented. Environmental governance inconsistencies persisted across industrial sectors and regional administrations. Carbon-intensive industrial downstreaming strategies occasionally conflicted with sustainability objectives, particularly within mining and resource-processing sectors.

Vietnam demonstrated relatively stronger integration between manufacturing modernization and industrial efficiency initiatives. Export-oriented production systems increasingly adopted energy efficiency technologies, environmental certification standards, and green supply-chain coordination mechanisms due to international market pressures and foreign investment requirements.

However, Vietnam also faced substantial sustainability challenges involving industrial pollution, labor conditions, and environmental governance transparency. Rapid manufacturing expansion generated ecological pressures despite technological upgrading efforts.

The comparison reveals that sustainability governance is fundamentally interconnected with institutional adaptability. Indonesia's decentralized governance structure complicated uniform ESG implementation, while Vietnam's centralized coordination enabled stronger industrial alignment but limited transparency and stakeholder participation in some sectors.

These findings align with Rodrik's (2021) argument that contemporary industrial policy must integrate sustainability governance, technological upgrading, and social inclusion. Economic resilience increasingly depends upon institutional systems capable of balancing competitiveness, environmental sustainability, and socio-economic legitimacy.

Importantly, the evidence suggests that sustainability transitions generate differentiated business implications. Firms integrated into global supply chains increasingly face ESG compliance pressures influencing investment access, export competitiveness, and market trust. MSMEs with limited financial and technical capacity risk exclusion from sustainability-oriented production systems unless supported by coordinated policy interventions.

4. Innovation Ecosystems, Human Capital, and Institutional Adaptability

Innovation ecosystems and human capital transformation emerged as central determinants of long-term competitiveness in both Indonesia and Vietnam. Technological upgrading increasingly depends upon institutional systems capable of integrating universities, industrial actors, financial institutions, regulatory agencies, and digital innovation networks.

Indonesia demonstrated strong entrepreneurial dynamism and startup ecosystem growth. Jakarta became one of Southeast Asia's largest digital startup hubs, attracting substantial venture capital investment and generating rapid platform innovation. However, innovation systems remained concentrated geographically and sectorally.

Regional disparities significantly constrained innovation diffusion. Provinces outside major metropolitan regions experienced weaker infrastructure accessibility, lower digital literacy, and limited innovation financing. Educational systems also struggled to adapt rapidly to emerging digital labor demands.

Vietnam's innovation ecosystem developed more closely alongside manufacturing and export-oriented industrial upgrading. Technical education institutions increasingly collaborated with industrial sectors to support automation capability, engineering skills, and production modernization.

Nevertheless, Vietnam faced challenges involving innovation autonomy and research commercialization. State-directed coordination improved industrial upgrading consistency but occasionally limited entrepreneurial experimentation and private-sector flexibility.

The comparison reveals that innovation capability depends upon institutional connectivity rather than technological investment alone. Regions and sectors characterized by stronger integration between education systems, industrial policy, financial ecosystems, and governance coordination demonstrated greater adaptive capability.

Table 1 summarizes the comparative institutional dynamics shaping economic transformation in Indonesia and Vietnam.

Table 1. Analytical Matrix of Comparative Indonesian Economic and Business Development

Variable	Case 1: Indonesia	Case 2: Vietnam	Empirical Evidence	Analytical Interpretation
Governance Structure	Decentralized digital-market governance	Centralized industrial governance	Indonesia exhibits stronger platform expansion	Governance structure shapes coordination efficiency
Digital Finance	Rapid fintech and platform integration	Production-linked digital finance	Higher fintech penetration in Indonesia	Financial inclusion models influence economic participation
Industrial Policy	Downstreaming and digital entrepreneurship	Export-oriented manufacturing upgrading	Vietnam demonstrates stronger manufacturing exports	Industrial coordination affects productivity transformation
MSME Transformation	Platform-based market participation	Manufacturing-linked SME integration	Indonesia shows higher digital MSME participation	Productive integration strengthens resilience
Sustainability Governance	Fragmented ESG implementation	Coordinated industrial efficiency systems	Vietnam shows stronger manufacturing sustainability integration	Sustainability depends upon governance coherence
Labor Transformation	Expanding gig and digital employment	Industrial automation and technical labor upgrading	Both economies face digital skills gaps	Human capital adaptation

				determines inclusivity
Innovation Ecosystem	Startup and venture capital concentration	Industrial-technological coordination	Indonesia demonstrates higher startup dynamism	Institutional connectivity shapes innovation capability
Economic Resilience	Consumption-driven digital growth	Export-manufacturing resilience	Vietnam demonstrates stronger export stability	Diversified production systems enhance resilience

The analytical matrix demonstrates that institutional variation fundamentally shapes digital transformation outcomes. Indonesia's entrepreneurial and platform-oriented economy generated rapid market expansion and digital inclusion, but institutional fragmentation constrained policy coordination and sustainability integration. Vietnam's centralized industrial coordination generated stronger manufacturing competitiveness and export resilience, although innovation flexibility and governance transparency remained more constrained.

This comparison contributes to comparative institutional scholarship by demonstrating that emerging economy transformation follows differentiated developmental pathways shaped by governance structures, business systems, and industrial coordination mechanisms rather than uniform digital modernization patterns.

5. Policy Implications for ASEAN Digital Transformation and Sustainable Development

The findings generate broader implications for ASEAN development governance and emerging economy transformation. First, digital transformation strategies must integrate industrial modernization, sustainability governance, financial inclusion, and labor adaptation rather than emphasizing technological expansion alone.

Second, institutional coherence emerges as the central determinant of sustainable digital transformation. Economies capable of integrating governance coordination, industrial upgrading, financial systems, and innovation ecosystems demonstrate stronger adaptive resilience and long-term competitiveness.

Third, ASEAN economies increasingly require regional coordination mechanisms regarding digital governance, AI regulation, sustainability standards, and cross-border innovation systems. Fragmented governance frameworks risk undermining regional competitiveness and inclusive development.

Fourth, MSME transformation strategies should prioritize productive capability upgrading and industrial integration rather than narrow platform participation metrics. Long-term resilience depends upon technological capability formation and productive diversification.

Finally, sustainability-oriented development requires integrating ESG governance with industrial policy and financial regulation. Green transformation cannot rely solely upon compliance frameworks but requires coordinated investment, technological modernization, and institutional adaptation.

Conceptual Model

This article proposes the following conceptual model:

Institutional Capacity → Innovation Coordination → Digital and Industrial Transformation → Business Competitiveness → Economic Resilience → Sustainable Socio-Economic Development

The model conceptualizes institutional capacity as the foundational variable shaping governance coordination, regulatory adaptability, financial integration, and industrial modernization. Strong institutional systems facilitate innovation coordination involving public agencies, industrial actors, universities, financial institutions, and technological ecosystems.

Innovation coordination subsequently influences digital and industrial transformation by determining the effectiveness of AI adoption, manufacturing upgrading, fintech integration, and MSME modernization. Economies with stronger institutional connectivity demonstrate greater capability to translate technological innovation into productive economic transformation.

Business competitiveness emerges when digitalization and industrial modernization improve productivity, export capability, market trust, and operational resilience. Competitive advantage therefore depends upon productive coordination rather than technological expansion alone.

Economic resilience reflects the ability of economic systems to adapt to global shocks, technological disruptions, environmental pressures, and financial volatility. Resilience is strongest when governance systems integrate sustainability, industrial diversification, labor adaptation, and financial inclusion.

Finally, sustainable socio-economic development occurs when digital transformation produces inclusive growth, environmental sustainability, institutional legitimacy, and long-term developmental capability.

Conclusion

This study comparatively analyzed how institutional governance structures shape digital transformation, industrial modernization, financial inclusion, and sustainable socio-economic resilience in Indonesia and Vietnam. The findings demonstrate that technological transformation in emerging ASEAN economies is fundamentally conditioned by institutional coherence linking industrial policy, digital governance, financial systems, labor adaptation, and sustainability-oriented coordination mechanisms.

The comparison revealed substantial institutional divergence between the two cases. Indonesia's decentralized and platform-oriented economic system accelerated digital entrepreneurship, fintech penetration, and market-based innovation expansion. However, governance fragmentation, uneven regional capacity, sustainability inconsistencies, and platform dependency constrained long-term developmental integration. Vietnam's centralized industrial coordination system generated stronger manufacturing competitiveness, export resilience, and production-linked technological upgrading, although innovation flexibility and governance transparency remained more limited.

The study argues that digital transformation alone cannot guarantee sustainable development outcomes. Rather, economic resilience depends upon governance systems capable of integrating technological upgrading, productive capability formation, sustainability governance, and inclusive institutional adaptation. These findings challenge technologically deterministic assumptions frequently present within digital economy discourse.

Theoretically, this article contributes to institutional economics and comparative business systems scholarship by developing an integrated framework linking governance capacity, innovation coordination, digital-industrial transformation, competitiveness, resilience, and sustainability-oriented development. The study also contributes empirically by providing comparative evidence regarding divergent ASEAN transformation trajectories using institutional, financial, industrial, and sustainability datasets.

From a business perspective, the findings indicate that firms operating within emerging digital economies increasingly depend upon adaptive governance systems, productive technological integration, and sustainability capability to maintain long-term competitiveness. Platform expansion without productive upgrading may generate temporary market growth while limiting structural resilience.

The policy implications are significant. Policymakers in Indonesia and across ASEAN should prioritize integrated institutional coordination involving industrial modernization, AI governance, ESG regulation, labor transformation, and financial inclusion. MSME development strategies should emphasize technological capability formation and productive integration rather than platform participation alone. Regional governance coordination regarding sustainability standards, digital regulation, and innovation ecosystems will also become increasingly important for ASEAN competitiveness.

The study further highlights the importance of human capital adaptation. Workforce transformation, technical education reform, and digital capability upgrading represent central determinants of inclusive economic resilience in technologically evolving economies.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The analysis primarily relies upon secondary institutional datasets rather than longitudinal firm-level evidence. Moreover, rapidly evolving AI technologies and geopolitical dynamics may alter industrial and digital governance trajectories over time. Future research should therefore examine sector-specific technological transformation, ESG integration within ASEAN manufacturing systems, comparative AI governance models, and longitudinal labor adaptation dynamics across emerging Southeast Asian economies.

Ultimately, this article argues that sustainable development in the digital era depends not solely upon technological acceleration, but upon institutional systems capable of coordinating innovation, industrial modernization, inclusive governance, and sustainability-oriented economic transformation.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to BPS Statistics Indonesia, Bank Indonesia, Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK), the ASEAN Secretariat, OECD, IMF, the World Bank, and the Ministry of Industry of the Republic of Indonesia for providing institutional reports, economic datasets, policy documents, and governance indicators that substantially supported the empirical and analytical foundation of this study. The authors also acknowledge the valuable contributions of the international scholarly community in the fields of institutional economics, comparative business systems, innovation governance, sustainability transition, and digital political economy whose academic works enriched the theoretical development of this article. Constructive insights from interdisciplinary literature on Southeast Asian economic transformation and sustainable industrial policy significantly strengthened the comparative interpretation presented in this research. Any remaining analytical limitations, interpretations, and conclusions are entirely the responsibility of the authors.

Referensi

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2021). Tasks, automation, and the rise in US wage inequality. *Econometrica, 89*(5), 1973–2016.

ASEAN Secretariat. (2024). *ASEAN digital economy framework agreement report 2024*. Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat.

Bank Indonesia. (2024). *Indonesia payment system blueprint implementation report 2024*. Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.

BPS Statistics Indonesia. (2024). *Indonesia digital economy statistics 2024*. Jakarta: BPS.

Doner, R., & Schneider, B. (2021). The middle-income trap and institutional innovation in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Asian Economics, 74*, 101302.

Google, Temasek, & Bain. (2024). *e-Conomy SEA 2024 report*. Singapore: Bain & Company.

IMF. (2024). *Regional economic outlook: Asia and Pacific*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.

Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. (2020). The platform economy: Restructuring the space of capitalist accumulation. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 13*(1), 55–76.

Mazzucato, M. (2021). *Mission economy: A moonshot guide to changing capitalism*. London: Allen Lane.

North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change, and economic performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

OECD. (2023). *Digital economy outlook 2023*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

OJK. (2024). *Indonesian sustainable finance and digital governance report*. Jakarta: Financial Services Authority.

Porter, M. E. (1990). *The competitive advantage of nations*. New York: Free Press.

Rodrik, D. (2021). Industrial policy for the twenty-first century. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 37*(2), 189–207.

Schwab, K. (2023). *Global competitiveness report 2023*. Geneva: World Economic Forum.

Tambunan, T. (2022). MSMEs in Indonesia: Development, constraints, and policy alternatives. *International Journal of Business and Globalisation, 31*(2), 145–163.

UNDP. (2024). *Human development report 2024*. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

Whitley, R. (1999). *Divergent capitalisms: The social structuring and change of business systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

World Bank. (2023). *Indonesia economic prospects: Digital pathways for inclusive growth*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2024). *World development report 2024: Digital transformation and development*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Economic Forum. (2024). *Future of jobs report 2024*. Geneva: World Economic Forum.

Yusuf, S., & Nabeshima, K. (2020). Industry 4.0 and industrial upgrading in emerging Asia. *Asian Economic Papers, 19*(3), 1–25.

Zhang, J., & Chen, Z. (2023). Artificial intelligence, industrial upgrading, and economic resilience in emerging economies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 189*, 122352.

Zysman, J., & Kenney, M. (2022). Governing the platform economy. *Industrial and Corporate Change, 31*(5), 1120–1138.